

Appendix C6

Feedback and Response Log - Government Review Team - Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism



Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	1	<p>Cultural heritage resources include built heritage resources, cultural heritage landscapes, and archaeological resources, yet many section titles and table headings use “cultural heritage” exclusively of archaeological resources. For consistency, please use the correct terminology when referring to each one, and the term “cultural heritage resources” when referring to all three types.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Change “cultural heritage” to “built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes” wherever it is used separately from archaeological resources, for example in the titles of:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 8.3.6.7 • Section 9.5.5.2 • Section 10.4.4 • Section 14.1.3.3 • Section 14.2.3.3 • Section 14.3.3.3 • Section 14.4.3.3 • Table 9-45 • The “Cultural Heritage” row of various tables, wherever Archaeology is addressed in a separate row. <p>Similarly, titles such as “Cultural Heritage and Archaeology”, “Archaeological and Cultural Heritage”, etc should be</p>	Appendix Q has been updated to change "cultural heritage" to "built heritage resources and cultural heritage landscapes" in instances where the term is used separately from archaeology.	Appendix Q	1012

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>changed to “Cultural Heritage Resources” in:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Section 8.3.6 • Section 9.5.9.5 • Figure 8-53 <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>			
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	2	<p>This summary focuses entirely on the “heat map” methodology and does not describe the two cultural heritage landscapes into which this data was synthesized in the Cultural Heritage Report: Existing Conditions and Preliminary Impact Assessment. Further identified built heritage resources and/or cultural heritage landscapes may need to be included based on the revisions to the Cultural Heritage Report.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution: Revise this section to provide information on any identified BHRs/CHLs, as well as the regulatory implications of the current Provincial ownership of the land (i.e. the Standards and Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties). This information should come from the Cultural Heritage Report:</p>	<p>The Final EA/IS and Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document have been extensively updated to include additional Indigenous Knowledge received from First Nations and to address MCM's comments, including providing a discussion on identified built heritage resources (BHRs) and cultural heritage landscapes (CHLs) from Appendix Q to the Final EA/IS.</p>	Appendix Q	1014

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>Existing Conditions and Preliminary Impact Assessment as revised according to our comments below.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>			
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	3	<p>It is unclear why “A characterization of residual effects for Archaeology is not required”, as provided in the Predicted Residual Effect field.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Provide a characterization of residual effects for archaeology or explain why such is not required.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>	<p>As outlined in Section 9.6 of the Cultural Heritage Study Plan (attached to Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document), a characterization of residual effects for cultural heritage will be completed after detail design once impacts are known and will be supported by subsequent archaeology reports, cultural heritage evaluation reports, and heritage impact assessments. The Final EA/IS has been updated to clarify the timeline for residual effects assessments.</p>	Appendix Q	1017
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	4	<p>It is unclear why “A characterization of residual effects for cultural heritage is not required”, as provided in the Predicted Residual Effect field.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p>	<p>As outlined in Section 9.6 of the Cultural Heritage Study Plan (attached to Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document), a characterization of residual effects for cultural heritage will be completed after detail design once impacts are known and will be supported</p>	Appendix Q	1022

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>Provide a characterization of residual effects for known and potential BHRs/CHLs, or explain why such is not required.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>	<p>by subsequent archaeology reports, cultural heritage evaluation reports, and heritage impact assessments. The Final EA/IS has been updated to clarify the timeline for residual effects assessments.</p>		
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	5	<p>It is unclear why an assessment of significance is not required under this discipline.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Provide an assessment of significance for cultural heritage resources, along similar lines to those of the other disciplines or explain why it is not required.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>	<p>As outlined in Section 7 of the Cultural Heritage Study Plan (attached to Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document), the EA/IS for cultural heritage is intended to identify potential cultural heritage resources and potential impacts to cultural resources. An evaluation on significance will be conducted after detail design in subsequent cultural heritage evaluation reports and/or heritage impact assessments. The Final EA/IS has been updated to clarify the timeline for assessment of significance.</p>	Appendix Q	1026

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	6	<p>As the study area is on land currently owned by the provincial Crown, the Standards and Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties apply. Where a known or potential built heritage resource or cultural heritage landscape may be directly and adversely impacted, and where it has not yet been evaluated for CHVI, completion of a Cultural Heritage Evaluation Report (CHER) is required to fully understand its CHVI and level of significance. The CHER must be completed within the EA stage, not in preconstruction/detail design, in order to determine whether it meets the criteria of Ontario Regulation 10/06, and thus constitutes a provincial heritage property of provincial significance, requiring Minister’s Consent.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Complete CHERs for any identified BHRs/CHLs that may be directly impacted by this project before completion of the EA. Revise this section to describe any further work, recommended through the CHERs, that is appropriate for the detail design stage (e.g., HIAs, if required).</p>	<p>The Environmental Assessment / Impact Statement and the Technical Support Documents were prepared to meet the requirements outlined in the Terms of Reference, the Tailored Impact Statement Guidelines and the technical discipline-specific study plans. The development of a cultural heritage evaluation reports (CHERs) was not a regulatory requirement and as such was not developed as part of the Environmental Assessment / Impact Statement. CHERs will be submitted to the province prior to initiating Project design. The Final EA/IS has been updated to clarify the CHERs timeline.</p>	Appendix Q	1034

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>			
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	7	<p>The EA/IS should explicitly commit not to commence ground-disturbing activities until archaeological concerns have been addressed through technical review of archaeological assessments.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution</p> <p>Add a statement either here or in Section 14.2.3.4 to the effect that ground-disturbing activities shall not commence until notification has been received from MCM that archaeological assessment reports have been entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports, where the reports recommend no further assessment work and account for the whole study area.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>	<p>Section 14.1.3.4 of the Final EA/IS clarifies when/where additional archaeological assessments are required. Section 14.2.3.4 of the Final EA/IS outlines that construction monitoring for archaeology will be further determined based on the results of the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment. The Owner/Operator of the Community Access Road will obtain all necessary permits and approvals prior to Construction, as required.</p>	<p>Comment noted; see response for details.</p>	1041
Ministry of	8	<p>This section refers to “the Cultural</p>	<p>The sentence in Section 14.2.3.3 of the</p>	<p>Final EA/IS</p>	1067

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
Citizenship and Multiculturalism		<p>Heritage Evaluation Reports described in Section 14.2.3.3”, but that is the section’s own number. This may have been intended to refer to Section 14.1.3.3.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Correct the section reference. Note that this correction may not be relevant following implementation of our recommendations regarding the CHERs.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>C. Editorial</p>	<p>Final EA/IS was updated as follows: "Construction monitoring for this discipline will be further determined based on the results of the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports described in Section 14.1.3.3".</p>	Section 14.2.3.3	
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	9	<p>This section refers to “the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment described in Section 14.2.3.4”, but that is the section’s own number. This may have been intended to refer to Section 14.1.3.4.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Correct section reference.</p> <p>Type of comment:</p> <p>C. Editorial</p>	<p>The sentence in Section 14.2.3.4 of the Final EA/IS was updated as follows: "Construction monitoring for this discipline will be further determined based on the results of the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment described in Section 14.1.3.4".</p>	Final EA/IS Section 14.2.3.4	1077
Ministry of	10	The avoidance or mitigation strategy for	The Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment	Comment	1092

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
Citizenship and Multiculturalism		<p>the Caviar (Eilp-1) site will be developed in the course of the Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment. It will be confirmed only when the Stage 3 report is entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports.</p> <p>This section should make clear whose will be responsible for taking these measures during construction.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>If the Stage 3 archaeological assessment for the Caviar site has been completed by the time the EA is finalized, and it recommends an avoidance and protection strategy with implications for construction, use the avoidance and protection measures recommended in the report here, adapted so as to clearly indicate roles and responsibilities. If the report has not yet been entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports when the EA is finalized, also note in this section that the avoidance and protection measures noted are based on preliminary recommendations and subject to change in accordance with the Stage 3 report as it is reviewed and finalized.</p>	<p>(AA) for the Caviar (Eilp-1) site will not be submitted to the Ontario Public Register before the submission of the Final EA/IS. As outlined in Section 14.2.3.4 of the Final EA/IS, a Stage 3 site-specific archaeological assessment is recommended for the Caviar (Eilp 1) site. Avoidance and protection are the preferred options either through project redesign or excluding or incorporating the area of the archaeological site into the development plan. Given that the site is located on the periphery of one of the route options, avoidance and protection might be possible upon selecting the preferred route. Section 14.2.3.4 of the Final EA/IS outlines avoidance and protection strategies for the Caviar (Eilp-1) site that must be implemented during construction.</p>	noted; see response for details.	

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>If the Stage 3 report for the Caviar site has not been completed by the time the EA is finalized, revise the section to note that avoidance and protection measures will be subject to its recommendations.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>			
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	11	<p>The EA/IS should undertake a commitment for appropriate action in the event of archaeological resources being encountered during construction, notwithstanding the completion of archaeological assessment.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Add a statement to the following effect: “Should previously undocumented archaeological resources be discovered, they may be a new archaeological site and therefore subject to Section 48(1) of the Ontario Heritage Act. The proponent or person discovering the archaeological resources must cease alteration of the site immediately and engage a licensed consultant archaeologist to carry out an</p>	The suggested wording has been added to Appendix AA-2, which lists future permits and approvals and when these might be required.	Comment noted; see response for details	1100

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>archaeological assessment, in compliance with Section 48(1) of the Ontario Heritage Act.</p> <p>The Funeral, Burial and Cremation Services Act, 2002, S.O. 2002, c.33 requires that any person discovering human remains must cease all activities immediately and notify the police or coroner. If the coroner does not suspect foul play in the disposition of the remains, in accordance with Ontario Regulation 30/11 the coroner shall notify the Registrar, Ontario Ministry of Public and Business Service Delivery and Procurement, which administers provisions of that Act related to burial sites. In situations where human remains are associated with archaeological resources, the Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism should also be notified (at archaeology@ontario.ca) to ensure that the archaeological site is not subject to unlicensed alterations which would be a contravention of the Ontario Heritage Act.”.</p> <p>Comment Type:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>			

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	12	<p>This section refers to “the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports described in Section 14.3.3.3”, but that is the section’s own number. This may have been intended to refer to Section 14.1.3.3.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Correct the section reference. Note that this correction may not be relevant following implementation of our recommendations regarding the CHERs.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>C. Editorial</p>	<p>The sentence in Section 14.3.3.3 of the Final EA/IS was updated as follows: "Operational monitoring for this discipline will be further determined based on the results of the Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports described in Section 14.1.3.3".</p>	Final EA/IS Section 14.3.3.3	1101
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	13	<p>This section refers to “the Stage 2 Archaeological Assessment described in Section 14.3.3.4”, but that is the section’s own number. This may have been intended to refer to Section 14.1.3.4.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Correct section reference.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>C. Editorial</p>	<p>The sentence in Section 14.3.3.4 of the Final EA/IS was updated as follows: "Operational monitoring for this discipline will be further determined based on the results of the Stage 2 and Stage 3 Archaeological Assessment described in Section 14.1.3.4".</p>	Final EA/IS Section 14.3.3.4	1102
Ministry of	14	It is unclear why the discussion of	The second paragraph in Section	Final EA/IS	1103

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
Citizenship and Multiculturalism		<p>discovery of human remains during archaeological investigations is placed here, in the Future Commitments section, when archaeological investigations will be completed during detail design. Further, this discussion would be more valuable if it specified the authorities to be contacted.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Move the paragraph on human remains to Section 14.1.3.4, and replace “the proper authorities” with “the Coroner” or “the police” as appropriate. It may also be appropriate here to note any protocols or expectations the area First Nations communities have with respect to encounters with human remains during archaeological assessments.</p> <p>Type of Comment;</p> <p>B. Recommended for EA</p>	<p>14.4.3.4 of the Final EA/IS has been removed from the section. The paragraph was moved to Section 14.1.3.4 and updated as follows: "Archaeological investigations have the potential to reveal buried human remains. Should human remains be identified during any stage of work, the Proponent will be informed immediately, and all archaeological work will halt until the proper authorities have been notified and permission to resume work has been granted, if required."</p>	<p>Sections 14.1.3.4 and 14.4.3.4</p>	
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	15	<p>Section 4 is organized by statutes and other major policy instruments. Section 4.2 should be named accordingly, in parallel with the other subsections of Section 4.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p>	<p>The title of Section 4.2 of Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document has been updated to Ontario Heritage Act</p>	<p>Appendix Q Section 4.2</p>	1104

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>Rename section to “Ontario Heritage Act”.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>C. Editorial</p>			
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	16	<p>Although Section 3.1 refers to “Indigenous communities” in the plural, there is no direct discussion anywhere in Section 3 of engagement with communities other than Marten Falls First Nation. There are several other communities that may have an interest in the cultural heritage of the study area.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Describe engagement with communities other than Marten Falls First Nation, here or in a dedicated Engagement section.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>	<p>A description of engagement with communities, including Marten Falls First Nations is provided in Appendix X Record of Consultation. Section 3 of Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document outlines the Indigenous Knowledge (IK) provided specific to cultural heritage and how it informed Appendix Q. At the time of submission of the Draft EA/IS, IK had only been received from Marten Falls First Nation. The Final EA/IS has been updated to include IK received following submission of the Draft EA/IS.</p>	Section 3 of Appendix Q	1237
Ministry of Citizenship	17	<p>This section states that “For the purpose of this Cultural Heritage Report, the</p>	<p>Section 4.2 of Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document</p>	Appendix Q	1240

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
and Multiculturalism		<p>Standards and Guidelines [for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties] provide points of reference to aid in determining heritage significance in the evaluation of these properties.” Given the current provincial Crown ownership of much of the study area, the Standards and Guidelines apply to the undertaking, not merely as a source of evaluation methodology but as a regulatory framework.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Revise the section to discuss the regulatory implications on the project of Part III.1 of the Ontario Heritage Act and the Standards and Guidelines for Conservation of Provincial Heritage Properties. For example, if a cultural heritage resource is of provincial significance, and the lands are owned by the provincial Crown or prescribed public body, then a Minister’s Consent would be required.</p> <p>The last paragraph should also be revised as the information from the Ontario Heritage Tool Kit is not summarized in the screening checklist. The purpose of the checklist is to determine whether a property or project</p>	<p>has been revised to cover requirements under the Ontario Heritage Act. Section 7.1 of Appendix Q references the Terms of Reference.</p>		

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>area has the potential to include BHRs or CHLs, and therefore warrants assessment.</p> <p>The report should also refer to the Terms of Reference approved by MECP in 2021 and articulate the commitments.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>			
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	18	<p>Please note that the now Provincial Planning Statement has been in effect since October 20, 2024. Please clarify that this legislation may apply to certain lands.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Please revise this section accordingly.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>	The Final EA/IS has been updated to confirm where the Provincial Planning Statement, 2020 (Ontario, 2020) will apply.	Final EA/IS throughout	1242
Ministry of Citizenship	19	This Cultural Heritage Report assesses the cultural heritage attributes of the	Table 6-1 in Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document	Appendix Q	1248

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
and Multiculturalism		<p>study area as forming two cultural heritage landscapes, and the recommended CHERs will correspond to that finding. This being the case, those two cultural heritage landscapes should be the resources inventoried in this table, rather than data points organized by use. The areas noted in the current version of the table can be included as attributes of the inventoried cultural heritage landscapes, but the table should show how each of the river systems constitutes a cultural heritage landscape through the interrelationships between these components. The table should also state what criteria of cultural heritage value or interest (per O. Reg. 9/06 and/or 10/06) each cultural heritage landscape has the potential to meet.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Restructure the table to have rows for each cultural heritage landscape, with columns describing their attributes and type of potential cultural heritage value or interest.</p> <p>Please find attached an example of how these table should be reorganized.</p> <p>Please clarify what data point count</p>	<p>has been updated to clarify the definition of "data point count".</p>		

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>means and how that information assists the reader in understanding the potential cultural heritage value or interest of the BHRs/CHL.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>			
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	20	<p>Please clarify the meaning of the term “heat maps” and “data points” and how they align with the existing framework. We also recommend that additional maps be included to clearly depict the boundaries of identified BHRs/CHLs (see comment 26 re “New Figure” below).</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Provide further explanation on the noted terminology and note whether, for example, data points could constitute heritage attributes within a cultural heritage resource.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p>	<p>"Data points" are georeferenced pieces of Indigenous Knowledge (IK) as provided by Marten Falls First Nation. IK data is confidential and precise mapping of IK data cannot be disseminated, therefore, "heat maps" are used to illustrate concentrations of IK point data on the landscape, without compromising the confidentiality of that data. The "heat maps" employ a 5 km grid where darker shading illustrates a greater number of data points within the grid square as described in Section 6.3 of Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document.</p> <p>The data points may be interpreted as heritage attributes of the Cultural</p>	Section 6.3 of Appendix Q.	1251

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)	Heritage Landscapes (CHL) and will be clarified in the final Appendix Q.		
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	21	<p>As with Table 6-1, this table should be organized by identified built heritage resource or cultural heritage landscape, not type of land use area.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Restructure table to show potential impacts and mitigation measures for each of identified BHR/CHL. Please find attached an example of how this table should be reorganized.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>	Table 8-1 in Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document has been resctructured to demonstrate potential impacts and mitigation measures for each built heritage resource (BHR) and cultural heritage landscape (CHL).	Appendix Q	1263
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	22	Section 8.1.1 states that “the numeric reckoning of data points, and the binary assessment of impacts to said data points” as meeting “the provincial assessment standards”, but being limiting with respect to Indigenous land use and knowledge in the Local Study Area, and makes the case for a more qualitative approach that identifies cultural heritage landscapes as defined by UNESCO, Parks Canada and other	Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document have been updated to include additional Indigenous Knowledge received from First Nations and to address MCM's comments, including this comment related to restructuring Section 6 of Appendix Q.	Appendix Q	1270

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>authorities. In fact, the provincially mandated approach is to identify cultural heritage landscapes based on the interrelationships of their features and attributes, while taking a qualitative approach to assessing the type and magnitude of impacts, not a “numeric reckoning of data points”. The discussion here of cultural heritage landscapes should be made part of the initial discussion of the regulatory framework.</p> <p>“this report recommends that the potential impacts of the Preferred Route be understood as impacting cultural heritage landscapes, rather than a certain number of data points.” Section 8.1.2 then reiterates that “while direct or indirect impacts to data points may be assessed according to standard provincial criteria, those potential impacts are better understood as impacts to cultural heritage landscapes, rather than to individual data points.”</p> <p>We support this recommendation, and in fact, “standard provincial criteria” are more commonly applied to potential cultural heritage landscapes than to “data points”. However, this finding should be articulated in Section 6.2, so that it informs the description of the</p>			

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>BHRs/CHLs and their potential impacts.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Move the discussion of cultural heritage landscapes as a concept (definitions, etc.) to Section 4. Remove the statement regarding numeric reckoning of data points and binary assessment of impacts as meeting provincial assessment standards. This section may require more extensive restructuring to reflect the revised Section 6.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>			
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	23	<p>This section reiterates that “while direct or indirect impacts to data points may be assessed according to standard provincial criteria, those potential impacts are better understood as impacts to cultural heritage landscapes, rather than to individual data points.”</p> <p>We support this recommendation, and in fact, as noted above, “standard provincial criteria” are more commonly applied to potential cultural heritage landscapes</p>	Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document has been updated to address this comment by incorporating the introduction of the two cultural heritage landscapes to Section 6.2 of Appendix Q.	Appendix Q Section 6.2	1271

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>than to “data points”. However, this finding should be articulated in Section 6.2, so as to inform the description of the BHRs/CHLs and their potential impacts.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Move the introduction of the two cultural heritage landscapes to Section 6.2. Revise this section based on the comments above</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>C. Editorial</p>			
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	24	<p>This table may not be necessary, as Tables 6-1 and 8-1 will contain much of the same information once restructured per our recommendation.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Consider removing table once Tables 6-1 and 8-1 have been revised.</p>	<p>The Final EA/IS and Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document have been extensively updated to include additional Indigenous Knowledge received from First Nations and to address MCM's comments, including updating Tables 6-1, 8-1 and 9-1 of Appendix Q.</p>	Appendix Q Tables 6-1, 8-1 and 9-1	1272
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	25	<p>This section introduces the individual recommendations, indicating that they will be completed “prior to initiating any construction activities”. As described above, the CHERs should be completed during the EA stage.</p>	<p>Section 5 of the Final EA/IS outlines that Cultural Heritage Evaluation Reports (CHERs) are a provincial requirement and will be submitted independently of the Final EA/IS. The Final EA/IS has been updated to clarify that the CHERs</p>	Final EA/IS Section 5.1.6	1273

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		<p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Remove “prior to initiating any construction activities” in the introductory paragraph. In bullet point #1, specify “before the completion of the Environmental Assessment”. In bullet point #2, specify “during detail design” or “during the preconstruction stage”.</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p> <p>A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)</p>	<p>should be completed as early as possible, and to specify that any required Historical Impact Assessments (HIAs) should be completed during detail design.</p>		
Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism	26	<p>The Cultural Heritage Report should include a map showing the identified cultural heritage resources in relation to the study area.</p> <p>Proposed Action/Solution:</p> <p>Add a map showing the study area and the boundaries of the identified potential cultural heritage resources (e.g. the Albany and Ogoki Rivers, including the tributaries included as part of the cultural heritage landscapes).</p> <p>Type of Comment:</p>	<p>Appendix Q Cultural Heritage Technical Support Document have been extensively updated to include additional Indigenous Knowledge (IK) received from First Nations and to address MCM's comments, including updating maps to include the identified potential cultural heritage landscapes (e.g., Albany and Ogoki Rivers and tributaries) and additional IK received.</p>	Appendix Q	1274

Table: Summary of Feedback Received and Response / Action – Ministry of Citizenship and Multiculturalism

Group Name	Comment ID from source	Comment Raised	Response	Addressed in the EA / IS	Internal ID
		A. Required for EA (per ministry mandate/policy/legislation)			